



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/420,238	10/19/1999	HIDEKI NISHIMURA	0397-0393P-S	2545
7590 06/16/2004			EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP P O BOX 747			QUELER, ADAM M	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 220400747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2178	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

fr

. 140	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/420,238	NISHIMURA, HIDEKI				
navious nousin	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Adam M Queler	2178				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 20 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice  I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no</li> </ul>						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action, or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	` ' ——					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 8.	Claim(s) objected to: 8.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
<u> </u>	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	$\overline{}$				
	ILABLE COPY	STEPHEN S. HONG PRIMARY EXAMINER				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the matrices are the added parameters and division occurs as a result of them..